WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

¥	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Jarvis Martin Juan			Martin Juan	Case Number:	CR 11-00554-PHX-JAT	
	ordance v ablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts	
赵	•	y clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ending trial in this case.				
X	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant petrial in this case.					
	than in			FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)		efendant has been convicted of a e if a circumstance giving rise to t	, ,,	local offense that would have been a federal ed) that is	
			a crime of violence as defined i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisor	nment or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	m term of imprisonment of to	en years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed aft described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(convicted of two or more prior federal offenses state or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	A perio	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from risonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	reasor	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a nably assure the safety of (an)oth ed this presumption.	rebuttable presumption tha er person(s) and the comm	nt no condition or combination of conditions will unity. I further find that the defendant has not	
			Al	ternative Findings		
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committe	ed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of in	nprisonment of ten years or	more is prescribed in²	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)			
	(2)	The decondition	efendant has not rebutted the proof ons will reasonably assure the ap	resumption established by topearance of the defendant	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
			Al	ternative Findings		
'M'	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
X	(2)	No cor	ndition or combination of condition	ns will reasonably assure the	e safety of others and the community.	
	(3)		is a serious risk that the defendar pective witness or juror).	t will (obstruct or attempt to	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
	(4)					

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 2:11-cr-00554-JAT Document 13 Filed 04/01/11 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
		Detendant his a history of criminal oftenses involving violence combined with the conduct in the instant oftense.			
Ø	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	Z D.	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
	The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			
χί	In add	dition:			
1	Ju Ju Ji	Defendant Waived his detention hearing ith leave granted to revist the issue if			
	The (Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the			

time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:11-cr-00554-JAT Document 13 Filed 04/01/11 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>March 31, 2011</u>

JAMES F. METCALF

United States Magistrate Judge